(1) Say that you have a cryptosystem with two plaintext messages  $m_0$  = "The British are coming" and  $m_1$  = "The sky is falling" that each occur with probability 1/2. Also say that there are 4 keys which are equally likely  $k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3$  which send the plaintext messages to one of the four cyphertexts

 $c_0$  = "cheese sandwiches."  $c_1$  = "milk and cookies"  $c_2$  = "mashed potatoes"  $c_3$  = "Ted Danson."

Say that message  $m_i$  will be sent under key  $k_j$  to the cyphertext  $c_{2i+j(mod4)}$ .

- (a) It is agreed in advance that today key that is being used is  $k_2$ . You receive the message "mashed potatoes." What plaintext does this represent?
- (b) Does this system achieve perfect secrecy? Why or why not?
- (c) Compute H(K|C).
- (d) Now assume that the 4 keys are not chosen with equal probability and instead  $k_0$  and  $k_2$  are chosen with probability 1/8 and  $k_1$  and  $k_3$  are chosen with probability 3/8. Does this system achieve perfect secrecy? Why or why not?
- (e) Under this new system calculate H(K|C).
- (2) Calculate the unicity distance of the Vernam cipher with p=7 and q=5 (the lengths of the two keys). Use the table from the notes to estimate the entropy of English and assume that all keys are equally likely.
- 1. In the enciphering system MIX45 the message is first Vigenere encrypted with a 4-letter keyword, and then subjected to a rectangular transposition of period 5. Determine the unicity distance of MIX45. Assume all ciphers are equally likely.

Suppose you are to write a program to simulate the output of a fortune wheel producing 1 2 3 4 5 6 with respective probabilities

1/8 1/8 1/4 1/6 1/6 1/6

Suppose you have already written a random number generator yielding a random variable W uniformly distributed in [0,1] and that the only thing missing in your program is the procedure which converts W into one of the numbers 1 2 3 4 5 6. Draw the decision tree that carries out this conversion with the smallest expected number of comparisons.

