## k-Schur functions indexed by a maximal rectangle

#### Mike Zabrocki - York University

joint work with Chris Berg, Nantel Bergeron, Hugh Thomas

Lapointe-Morse (2005)



Lapointe-Morse defintion of k-Schur functions

$$\{s_{\lambda}^{(k)}\}_{\lambda}$$
 basis of algebra  $\Lambda^{(k)} = \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k]$   
satisfying  
 $h_{-}e^{(k)} - \sum e^{(k)}$ 

$$h_r s_{\lambda}^{(n)} = \sum_{\mu} s_{\mu}^{(n)}$$

$$\mathfrak{c}(\mu)/\mathfrak{c}(\lambda)$$
 is a horizontal strip,  $\lambda \leq \mu$   
 $|\mu| = |\lambda| + r$ 

# This is a recursive definition because of triangularity considerations

**Example:** k=3 to calculate 
$$s^{(3)}_{(2,2,1)}$$

the 3-Pieri rule says:

$$h_2 s_{(2,1)}^{(3)} = s_{(2,2,1)}^{(3)} + s_{(3,1,1)}^{(3)}$$

We may assume (inductively) that expansions of  $s_{(3,1,1)}^{(3)}$  and  $s_{(2,1)}^{(3)}$  are known in terms of the generators

In particular, if hook  $\lambda~$  is small (less or equal k) then  $s_{\lambda}^{(k)}=s_{\lambda}$ 

Affine symmetric group W of type  $A_k$ 

W generated by elements  $\{s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$  $s_i^2 = 1$  $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$   $_{i,i+1 \pmod{k+1}}$  $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$   $i - j \notin k, 0, 1 \pmod{k+1}$ 

 $W_0$  is the subgroup generated by  $\{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k\}$  $W/W_0$  = cosets of  $W_0$  are in bijection with k-bounded partitions/(k+1)-cores





































Thomas Lam

Consider elements of the affine nil-Coxter algebra

$$u_i^2 = 0$$
  
 $u_i u_{i+1} u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1}$   
 $u_i u_j = u_j u_i$   
 $i, i+1 \pmod{k+1}$   
 $i - j \notin k, 0, 1 \pmod{k+1}$ 

$$\mathbf{h}_{r} = \sum_{|A|=r} u_{A} \qquad 1 \leq r \leq k$$

$$A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2, \dots k\} \qquad \mathbf{h}_{i} \qquad \mathbf{$$

Let  $\gamma$  be a (k+1)-core  $u_i$  acts on  $\gamma$  by adding *i*-addable corner if possible



the result is 0 otherwise



$$\Lambda^{(k)} = \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k] \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_k]$$

$$u: \mathbb{Q}[h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k] \to \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_k]$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)} = u(s_{\lambda}^{(k)})$$

Say then that we determine:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{w} c_{w} w$$

w is in the affine Nil-Coxeter algebra  $c_w$  coefficients

k-Littlewood-Richardson coefficients:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)}\mathbf{s}_{\mu}^{(k)} = \sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu(k)}\mathbf{s}_{\nu}^{(k)}$$

Viewing this in terms of actions on cores:

$$\mathbf{s}_{\mu}^{(k)} \emptyset = \mathfrak{c}(\mu)$$
$$\mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)} \mathfrak{c}(\mu) = \sum_{\nu} c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu(k)} \mathfrak{c}(\nu) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{s}_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \sum_{w} c_{w} w$$

$$c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu(k)}$$
 is equal to  $c_w$  if there exists a  $w$  s.t.  $w\mathfrak{c}(\mu) = \mathfrak{c}(\nu)$ 







![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

We haven't come up with a k-LR rule, but can reduce it to a more manageable problem

Let R be a rectangle with hook = k  $s_R s_\lambda^{(k)} = s_{R\cup\lambda}^{(k)}$ 

$$s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = s_{R_1} s_{R_2} \cdots s_{R_d} s_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{(k)}$$

where each of the  $R_i$  are rectangles with hook = k and the partition  $\tilde{\lambda}$  contains less than k+2-r parts of size r

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	0	4	3	4	0	4	0	4	0	1
4	0	4	0	4	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	2
0	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	1	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	3

 $u_{4}u_{3}u_{0}u_{4}u_{1}u_{0} + u_{2}u_{4}u_{3}u_{0}u_{4}u_{1} + u_{3}u_{2}u_{4}u_{3}u_{0}u_{4} + u_{1}u_{2}u_{4}u_{3}u_{0}u_{1} + u_{1}u_{3}u_{2}u_{4}u_{3}u_{0}$  $+ u_{2}u_{1}u_{3}u_{2}u_{4}u_{3} + u_{0}u_{1}u_{2}u_{4}u_{0}u_{1} + u_{0}u_{1}u_{3}u_{2}u_{4}u_{0} + u_{0}u_{2}u_{1}u_{3}u_{2}u_{4} + u_{1}u_{0}u_{3}u_{1}u_{3}u_{2}$ 

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

combinatorial formula #2  

$$R = ((k + 1 - r)^{r})$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{R} = \sum_{|A|=k+1-r} u_{A}u_{A+1}u_{A+2}\cdots u_{A+r-1}$$

## **Example:** k=4 R=(2,2,2)

0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0
2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1
			-																					
0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4
1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0
2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1	2	3	4	0	1

#### More geometric formula

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

So then what remains to give an explicit k-Littlewood Richardson rule is to give more explicit formulas for  $\mathbf{s}_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{(k)}$  where  $\tilde{\lambda}$  contains no rectangles with a k-hook.

### For a fixed k there are k! such partitions.

### END!