# | **y** r **y X** with Open Texts

## LINEAR ALGEBRA with APPLICATIONS

Lecture Notes by Karen Seyffarth

# **Determinants and Diagonalization**

Creative Commons License (CC BY-NC-SA)

Determinants and Diagonalization



#### Champions of Access to Knowledge



# Contact Lyryx Today!

#### info@lyryx.com

Determinants and Diagonalization

Lyryx with Open Texts

Page 2/44

# Linear Algebra with Applications

Lecture Notes

#### Current Lecture Notes Revision: Version 2018 - Revision B

These lecture notes were originally developed by Karen Seyffarth of the University of Calgary. Edits, additions, and revisions have been made to these notes by the editorial team at Lyryx Learning to accompany their text Linear Algebra with Applications based on W. K. Nicholson's original text.

In addition we recognize the following contributors. All new content contributed is released under the same license as noted below.

Ilijas Farah, York University

#### **BE A CHAMPION OF OER!**

Contribute suggestions for improvements, new content, or errata: A new topic A new example or problem A new or better proof to an existing theorem Any other suggestions to improve the material

Contact Lyryx at info@lyryx.com with your ideas.

License



Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike (CC BY-NC-SA) This license lets others remix, tweak, and build upon your work non-commercially, as long as they credit you and license their new creations under the identical terms.

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Find  $A^{100}$ .

Determinants and Diagonalization

◄

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Find  $A^{100}$ .

How can we do this efficiently?

◄

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Find  $A^{100}$ .

## How can we do this efficiently?

Consider the matrix  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Observe that P is invertible (why?), and that  $P^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Find  $A^{100}$ .

## How can we do this efficiently?

Consider the matrix 
$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Observe that  $P$  is invertible (why?), and that
$$P^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore,

$$P^{-1}AP = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = D,$$

where D is a diagonal matrix.

◄

This is significant, because

$$P^{-1}AP = D$$

$$P(P^{-1}AP)P^{-1} = PDP^{-1}$$

$$(PP^{-1})A(PP^{-1}) = PDP^{-1}$$

$$IAI = PDP^{-1}$$

$$A = PDP^{-1}$$

◄

This is significant, because

$$P^{-1}AP = D$$

$$P(P^{-1}AP)P^{-1} = PDP^{-1}$$

$$(PP^{-1})A(PP^{-1}) = PDP^{-1}$$

$$IAI = PDP^{-1}$$

$$A = PDP^{-1},$$

and so

$$A^{100} = (PDP^{-1})^{100}$$
  
=  $(PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1})(PDP^{-1})\cdots(PDP^{-1})$   
=  $PD(P^{-1}P)D(P^{-1}P)D(P^{-1}\cdots P)DP^{-1}$   
=  $PDIDIDI\cdots IDP^{-1}$   
-  $PD^{100}P^{-1}$ 

◄

Now,

$$D^{100} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{array} \right]^{100} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2^{100} & 0 \\ 0 & 5^{100} \end{array} \right].$$

Therefore,

$$A^{100} = PD^{100}P^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2^{100} & 0 \\ 0 & 5^{100} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2^{100} + 2 \cdot 5^{100} & 2^{100} - 2 \cdot 5^{100} \\ 2^{100} - 5^{100} & 2 \cdot 2^{100} + 5^{100} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2^{100} + 2 \cdot 5^{100} & 2^{100} - 2 \cdot 5^{100} \\ 2^{100} - 5^{100} & 2^{101} + 5^{100} \end{bmatrix}$$

◀ □

#### Theorem (Diagonalization and Matrix Powers)

If  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , then  $A^k = PD^kP^{-1}$  for each k = 1, 2, 3, ...

#### Theorem (Diagonalization and Matrix Powers)

If  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , then  $A^k = PD^kP^{-1}$  for each k = 1, 2, 3, ...

The process of finding an invertible matrix P and a diagonal matrix D so that  $A = PDP^{-1}$  is referred to as diagonalizing the matrix A, and P is called the diagonalizing matrix for A.

Theorem (Diagonalization and Matrix Powers)

If  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , then  $A^k = PD^kP^{-1}$  for each k = 1, 2, 3, ...

The process of finding an invertible matrix P and a diagonal matrix D so that  $A = PDP^{-1}$  is referred to as diagonalizing the matrix A, and P is called the diagonalizing matrix for A.

#### Problem

- When is it possible to diagonalize a matrix?
- How do we find a diagonalizing matrix?

# Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

### Definition

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\lambda$  a real number, and  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$  an *n*-vector. If  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ , then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A, and  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector of A corresponding to  $\lambda$ , or a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector.

# Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

## Definition

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\lambda$  a real number, and  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$  an *n*-vector. If  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ , then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A, and  $\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector of A corresponding to  $\lambda$ , or a  $\lambda$ -eigenvector.

Example  
Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  
 $A\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 3\mathbf{x}$ .  
This means that 3 is an eigenvalue of A, and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of A  
corresponding to 3 (or a 3-eigenvector of A).

Determinants and Diagonalization

**Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors** 

Page 8/44

# Finding all Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Matrix

Suppose that A is an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$  an *n*-vector,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and that  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ .

◀

# Finding all Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Matrix

Suppose that A is an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$  an *n*-vector,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and that  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ . Then

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} - A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\lambda I \mathbf{x} - A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\lambda I - A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

# Finding all Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Matrix

Suppose that A is an  $n \times n$  matrix,  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$  an *n*-vector,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and that  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$ . Then

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} - A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\lambda I \mathbf{x} - A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$(\lambda I - A) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

Since  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the matrix  $\lambda I - A$  has no inverse, and thus

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = 0.$$

#### Definition

#### The characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ matrix A is

 $c_A(x) = \det(xI - A).$ 

## Definition

#### The characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ matrix A is

$$c_A(x) = \det(xI - A).$$

### Example

The characteristic polynomial of 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is

$$c_A(x) = \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$= \det \begin{bmatrix} x-4 & 2 \\ 1 & x-3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= (x-4)(x-3) - 2$$
$$= x^2 - 7x + 10$$

◀ ⊑

#### Theorem (Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Matrix)

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

- The eigenvalues of A are the roots of  $c_A(x)$ .
- **2** The  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors **x** are the nontrivial solutions to  $(\lambda I A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

#### Theorem (Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Matrix)

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

- The eigenvalues of A are the roots of  $c_A(x)$ .
- **2** The  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors **x** are the nontrivial solutions to  $(\lambda I A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

## Example (continued)

For 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
, we have

$$c_A(x) = x^2 - 7x + 10 = (x - 2)(x - 5),$$

so A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 2$  and  $\lambda_2 = 5$ .

#### Theorem (Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of a Matrix)

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

- The eigenvalues of A are the roots of  $c_A(x)$ .
- **2** The  $\lambda$ -eigenvectors **x** are the nontrivial solutions to  $(\lambda I A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

## Example (continued)

For 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
, we have

$$c_A(x) = x^2 - 7x + 10 = (x - 2)(x - 5),$$

so A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 2$  and  $\lambda_2 = 5$ . To find the 2-eigenvectors of A, solve  $(2I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ :

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

The general solution, in parametric form, is

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ egin{array}{c} t \ t \end{array} 
ight] = t \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight] \,$$
 where  $t \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

The general solution, in parametric form, is

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ egin{array}{c} t \ t \end{array} 
ight] = t \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight] ext{ where } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To find the 5-eigenvectors of A, solve  $(5I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ :

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array}\right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

The general solution, in parametric form, is

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ egin{array}{c} t \ t \end{array} 
ight] = t \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight] ext{ where } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To find the 5-eigenvectors of A, solve  $(5I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ :

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array}\right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

The general solution, in parametric form, is

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ egin{array}{c} -2s \ s \end{array} 
ight] = s \left[ egin{array}{c} -2 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight] ext{ where } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

#### Definition

A basic eigenvector of an  $n \times n$  matrix A is any nonzero multiple of a basic solution to  $(\lambda I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A.

#### Definition

A basic eigenvector of an  $n \times n$  matrix A is any nonzero multiple of a basic solution to  $(\lambda I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A.



For 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
, find  $c_A(x)$ , the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and find corresponding basic eigenvectors.

Page 14/44

•

For 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
, find  $c_A(x)$ , the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and find corresponding basic eigenvectors.

$$det(xI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 4 & -2 \\ -1 & x + 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 5 & x - 5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & x - 3 & -x + 3 \\ -1 & 5 & x - 5 \end{vmatrix}$$

Determinants and Diagonalization

Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Page 14/44

◄

For 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
, find  $c_A(x)$ , the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and find corresponding basic eigenvectors.

$$\det(xI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 4 & -2 \\ -1 & x + 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 5 & x - 5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & x - 3 & -x + 3 \\ -1 & 5 & x - 5 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & x - 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & x \end{vmatrix} = (x - 3) \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 2 \\ -1 & x \end{vmatrix}$$

Determinants and Diagonalization

Page 14/44

◄

For 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
, find  $c_A(x)$ , the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and find corresponding basic eigenvectors.

$$det(xI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 4 & -2 \\ -1 & x + 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 5 & x - 5 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} x - 3 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & x - 3 & -x + 3 \\ -1 & 5 & x - 5 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} x-3 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & x-3 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & x \end{vmatrix} = (x-3) \begin{vmatrix} x-3 & 2 \\ -1 & x \end{vmatrix}$$

$$c_A(x) = (x-3)(x^2-3x+2) = (x-3)(x-2)(x-1).$$

◄

Therefore, the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 1$ .

Therefore, the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 1$ . Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_1 = 3$ : solve  $(3I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 4 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -2 & 0 \end{array}\right] \to \dots \to \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

◄

Therefore, the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 1$ . Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_1 = 3$ : solve  $(3I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -2 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \dots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus 
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}t \\ \frac{1}{2}t \\ t \end{bmatrix} = t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

<

Therefore, the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 = 3, \lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 1$ . Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_1 = 3$ : solve  $(3I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -2 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \dots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus 
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}t \\ \frac{1}{2}t \\ t \end{bmatrix} = t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
Choosing  $t = 2$  gives us  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  as a basic eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1 = 3$ .

<
Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_2 = 2$ : solve  $(2I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -1 & 4 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -3 & 0 \end{array}\right] \to \dots \to \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_2 = 2$ : solve  $(2I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \dots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Thus  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2s \\ s \\ s \end{bmatrix} = s \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, s \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_2 = 2$ : solve  $(2I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Thus  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2s \\ s \\ s \end{bmatrix} = s \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
Choosing  $s = 1$  gives us  $\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  as a basic eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_2 = 2$ .

Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_3 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -2 & 4 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -2 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -4 & 0 \end{array}\right] \to \dots \to \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_3 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -4 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \dots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Thus  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \\ r \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, r \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

Basic eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_3 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 3 & -2 & | & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -4 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Thus  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \\ r \end{bmatrix} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
Choosing  $r = 1$  gives us  $\mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  as a basic eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_3 = 1$ .

# Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be a 2  $\times$  2 matrix. Then A can be interpreted as a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Problem

How does the linear transformation affect the eigenvectors of the matrix?



# Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be a 2  $\times$  2 matrix. Then A can be interpreted as a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

#### Problem

How does the linear transformation affect the eigenvectors of the matrix?

### Definition

Let V be a nonzero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We denote by  $L_V$  the unique line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that contains V and the origin.



# Geometric Interpretation of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be a 2  $\times$  2 matrix. Then A can be interpreted as a linear transformation from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

#### Problem

How does the linear transformation affect the eigenvectors of the matrix?

### Definition

Let V be a nonzero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We denote by  $L_V$  the unique line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that contains V and the origin.

#### Lemma

Let  $V = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$  be a nonzero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $L_V$  is the set of all scalar multiples of V, i.e.,

$$L_V = \mathbb{R}V = \{tV \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Determinants and Diagonalization

A-Invariance

Let A be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix and L a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through the origin. Then L is said to be A-invariant if the vector Ax lies in L whenever x lies in L,

Let A be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix and L a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through the origin. Then L is said to be A-invariant if the vector Ax lies in L whenever x lies in L, i.e., Ax is a scalar multiple of x,

Determinants and Diagonalization

Let *A* be a 2 × 2 matrix and *L* a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through the origin. Then *L* is said to be *A*-invariant if the vector *A*x lies in *L* whenever x lies in *L*, i.e., *A*x is a scalar multiple of x, i.e., *A*x =  $\lambda$ x for some scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

A-Invariance

Page 19/44

Let A be a 2 × 2 matrix and L a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through the origin. Then L is said to be A-invariant if the vector Ax lies in L whenever x lies in L, i.e., Ax is a scalar multiple of x, i.e.,  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  for some scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e., x is an eigenvector of A.

Let A be a 2 × 2 matrix and L a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through the origin. Then L is said to be A-invariant if the vector Ax lies in L whenever x lies in L, i.e., Ax is a scalar multiple of x, i.e.,  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  for some scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e., x is an eigenvector of A.

#### Theorem (A-Invariance)

Let A be a 2 × 2 matrix and let  $V \neq 0$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $L_V$  is A-invariant if and only if V is an eigenvector of A.

Let A be a 2 × 2 matrix and L a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  through the origin. Then L is said to be A-invariant if the vector Ax lies in L whenever x lies in L, i.e., Ax is a scalar multiple of x, i.e.,  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$  for some scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e., x is an eigenvector of A.

## Theorem (A-Invariance)

Let A be a 2 × 2 matrix and let  $V \neq 0$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $L_V$  is A-invariant if and only if V is an eigenvector of A.

This theorem provides a geometrical method for finding the eigenvectors of a  $2\times 2$  matrix.

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear transformation  $Q_m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e., reflection in the line y = mx.

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear transformation  $Q_m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e., reflection in the line y = mx. The matrix that induces  $Q_m$  is

$$A = \frac{1}{1+m^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1-m^2 & 2m \\ 2m & m^2-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear transformation  $Q_m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e., reflection in the line y = mx. The matrix that induces  $Q_m$  is

$$A = \frac{1}{1+m^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1-m^2 & 2m \\ 2m & m^2-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Claim.**  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of *A* corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear transformation  $Q_m : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e., reflection in the line y = mx. The matrix that induces  $Q_m$  is

$$A = \frac{1}{1+m^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1-m^2 & 2m \\ 2m & m^2-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Claim.**  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$  is an eigenvector of *A* corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ . The reason for this:  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ m \end{bmatrix}$  lies in the line y = mx, and hence

$$Q_m \begin{bmatrix} 1\\m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\m \end{bmatrix}$$
, implying that  $A \begin{bmatrix} 1\\m \end{bmatrix} = 1 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\m \end{bmatrix}$ 

More generally, any vector  $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ km \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , lies in the line y = mx and is an eigenvector of A. Another way of saying this is that the line y = mx is A-invariant for the matrix  $1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 - m^2 & 2m \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$A = \frac{1}{1+m^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1-m^2 & 2m \\ 2m & m^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\theta$  be a real number, and  $R_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  rotation through an angle of  $\theta$ , induced by the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

-

Let  $\theta$  be a real number, and  $R_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  rotation through an angle of  $\theta$ , induced by the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

**Claim.** A has no real eigenvectors unless  $\theta$  is an integer multiple of  $\pi$ , i.e.,  $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \pm 3\pi$ , etc.

Let  $\theta$  be a real number, and  $R_{\theta} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$  rotation through an angle of  $\theta$ , induced by the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

**Claim.** A has no real eigenvectors unless  $\theta$  is an integer multiple of  $\pi$ , i.e.,  $\pm \pi, \pm 2\pi, \pm 3\pi$ , etc.

The reason for this: a line L in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is A invariant if and only if  $\theta$  is an integer multiple of  $\pi$ .

# Diagonalization

# Notation.

An  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is written diag $(a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_{n-1}, a_n)$ .

# Diagonalization

# Notation.

An  $n \times n$  diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is written diag $(a_1, a_2, a_3, ..., a_{n-1}, a_n)$ .

**Recall** that if A is an  $n \times n$  matrix and P is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix so that  $P^{-1}AP$  is diagonal, then P is called a diagonalizing matrix of A, and A is diagonalizable.

Theorem (Matrix Diagonalization)

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

A is diagonalizable if and only if it has eigenvectors x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub> so that

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_n \end{array} \right]$$

is invertible.

Theorem (Matrix Diagonalization)

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix.

A is diagonalizable if and only if it has eigenvectors x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>,..., x<sub>n</sub> so that

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_n \end{array} \right]$$

is invertible.

2 If P is invertible, then

$$P^{-1}AP = diag(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue of A corresponding to the eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , *i.e.*,  $A\mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$ .

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 has eigenvalues and corresponding basic eigenvectors

$$\lambda_1 = 3 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 2 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_3 = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

◄

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 has eigenvalues and corresponding basic eigenvectors

$$\lambda_1 = 3 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 2 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_3 = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
  
Let  $P = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \mathbf{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1\\1 & 1 & 1\\2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 \\ 1 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$
 has eigenvalues and corresponding basic eigenvectors

$$\lambda_1 = 3 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_2 = 2 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}; \lambda_3 = 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $P = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 & \mathbf{x}_2 & \mathbf{x}_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then P is invertible (check thick) so by the above Theorem

this!), so by the above Theorem,

$$P^{-1}AP = \operatorname{diag}(3, 2, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is not always possible to find n eigenvectors so that P is invertible.



It is not always possible to find n eigenvectors so that P is invertible.

# Example

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & -6 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is not always possible to find n eigenvectors so that P is invertible.

# Example

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & -6 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then  
 $c_A(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 1 & 2 & -3 \\ -2 & x - 6 & 6 \\ -1 & -2 & x + 1 \end{vmatrix} = \dots = (x - 2)^3.$ 

◀ ⊏

It is not always possible to find n eigenvectors so that P is invertible.

#### Example

Let 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 & -6 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
. Then  
 $c_A(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 1 & 2 & -3 \\ -2 & x - 6 & 6 \\ -1 & -2 & x + 1 \end{vmatrix} = \dots = (x - 2)^3.$ 

A has only one eigenvalue,  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , with multiplicity three.

To find the 2-eigenvectors of A, solve the system  $(2I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

◄

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & 6 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \dots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The general solution in parametric form is

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[ egin{array}{c} -2s+3t \ s \ t \end{array} 
ight] = s \left[ egin{array}{c} -2 \ 1 \ 0 \end{array} 
ight] + t \left[ egin{array}{c} 3 \ 0 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight], s,t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Determinants and Diagonalization

Page 27/44

◀ ⊑
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & 6 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \dots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The general solution in parametric form is

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2s + 3t \\ s \\ t \end{bmatrix} = s \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since the system has only **two** basic solutions, there are only two basic eigenvectors, implying that the matrix *A* is **not diagonalizable**.

Diagonalize, if possible, the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

◄

Diagonalize, if possible, the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
.  
 $c_A(x) = \det(xI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & x - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x + 3 \end{vmatrix} = (x - 1)^2(x + 3).$ 

◄

Diagonalize, if possible, the matrix 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
.  
 $c_A(x) = \det(xI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & x - 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x + 3 \end{vmatrix} = (x - 1)^2(x + 3).$ 

A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$  of multiplicity two;  $\lambda_2 = -3$  of multiplicity one.

#### Eigenvectors for $\lambda_1 = 1$ : solve $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & | & 0 \end{array}\right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

◀ □

Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

 $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ s, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ so basic eigenvectors corresponding to } \lambda_1 = 1 \text{ are}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_2 = -3$ : solve  $(-3I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} -4 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_2 = -3$ : solve  $(-3I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

◄

#### Let

$$P = \left[ egin{array}{ccc} -1 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 1 \ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{array} 
ight].$$

Then P is invertible,

Determinants and Diagonalization

◀ □

Let

$$P = \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Then P is invertible, and

$$P^{-1}AP = \operatorname{diag}(-3, 1, 1) = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determinants and Diagonalization

◄

## Theorem (Matrix Diagonalization Test)

A square matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of multiplicity m yields exactly m basic eigenvectors, i.e., the solution to  $(\lambda I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has m parameters.

## Theorem (Matrix Diagonalization Test)

A square matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of multiplicity m yields exactly m basic eigenvectors, i.e., the solution to  $(\lambda I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has m parameters.

A special case of this is

Theorem (Distinct Eigenvalues and Diagonalization)

An  $n \times n$  matrix with distinct eigenvalues is diagonalizable.

Show that 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is not diagonalizable.

◄

Show that 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is not diagonalizable.

First,

$$c_A(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x-1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & x-1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x-2 \end{vmatrix} = (x-1)^2(x-2),$$

so A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 1$  of multiplicity two;  $\lambda_2 = 2$  (of multiplicity one).

◄

Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$$

Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Therefore,  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Eigenvectors for  $\lambda_1 = 1$ : solve  $(I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Therefore,  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
Since  $\lambda_1 = 1$  has multiplicity two, but has only one basic eigenvector,  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

#### Problem

$$Let A = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 5 & 8 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -4 & -5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Show that 4 is an eigenvalue of A, and find a corresponding basic eigenvector.
- Verify that [ 1 −1 −1 ] is an eigenvector os A, and find its corresponding eigenvalue.

A linear dynamical system consists of

• an  $n \times n$  matrix A and an *n*-vector  $V_0$ ;

A linear dynamical system consists of

- an  $n \times n$  matrix A and an *n*-vector  $V_0$ ;
- a matrix recursion defining  $V_1, V_2, V_3, \ldots$  by  $V_{k+1} = AV_k$ ; i.e.,

A linear dynamical system consists of

- an  $n \times n$  matrix A and an *n*-vector  $V_0$ ;
- a matrix recursion defining  $V_1, V_2, V_3, \ldots$  by  $V_{k+1} = AV_k$ ; i.e.,

$$V_{1} = AV_{0}$$

$$V_{2} = AV_{1} = A(AV_{0}) = A^{2}V_{0}$$

$$V_{3} = AV_{2} = A(A^{2}V_{0}) = A^{3}V_{0}$$

$$\vdots \vdots$$

$$V_{k} = A^{k}V_{0}.$$

A linear dynamical system consists of

- an  $n \times n$  matrix A and an *n*-vector  $V_0$ ;
- a matrix recursion defining  $V_1, V_2, V_3, \ldots$  by  $V_{k+1} = AV_k$ ; i.e.,

$$V_{1} = AV_{0}$$

$$V_{2} = AV_{1} = A(AV_{0}) = A^{2}V_{0}$$

$$V_{3} = AV_{2} = A(A^{2}V_{0}) = A^{3}V_{0}$$

$$\vdots \vdots$$

$$V_{k} = A^{k}V_{0}.$$

Linear dynamical systems are used, for example, to model the evolution of populations over time.

If A is diagonalizable, then

$$P^{-1}AP = D = \mathsf{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are the (not necessarily distinct) eigenvalues of A.

◄

If A is diagonalizable, then

$$P^{-1}AP = D = \mathsf{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n),$$

where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are the (not necessarily distinct) eigenvalues of A.

Thus  $A = PDP^{-1}$ , and  $A^k = PD^kP^{-1}$ . Therefore,

$$V_k = A^k V_0 = P D^k P^{-1} V_0.$$

Consider the linear dynamical system  $V_{k+1} = AV_k$  with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find a formula for  $V_k$ .

◄

Consider the linear dynamical system  $V_{k+1} = AV_k$  with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find a formula for  $V_k$ .

First,  $c_A(x) = (x - 2)(x + 1)$ , so A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 2$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$ , and thus is diagonalizable.

Consider the linear dynamical system  $V_{k+1} = AV_k$  with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find a formula for  $V_k$ .

First,  $c_A(x) = (x - 2)(x + 1)$ , so A has eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = 2$  and  $\lambda_2 = -1$ , and thus is diagonalizable.

Solve  $(2I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has general solution  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} s \\ s \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , and basic solution  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Solve (-I - A)x = 0:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
has general solution  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and basic solution  $\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

◄

# Example (continued) Solve $(-I - A)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ : $\left[\begin{array}{cc|c} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]$ has general solution $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ , $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and basic solution $\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus, $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a diagonalizing matrix for A, $P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and $P^{-1}AP = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\ell_{k} &=& A^{k}V_{0} \\
&=& PD^{k}P^{-1}V_{0} \\
&=& \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array}\right]^{k} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \\ -1 \end{array}\right] \\
&=& \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2^{k} & 0 \\ 0 & (-1)^{k} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \\ -2 \end{array}\right] \\
&=& \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2^{k} & 0 \\ 2^{k} & (-1)^{k} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 \\ -2 \end{array}\right] \\
&=& \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2^{k} \\ 2^{k} - 2(-1)^{k} \end{array}\right]
\end{array}$$

◄

#### Remark

Often, instead of finding an exact formula for  $V_k$ , it suffices to estimate  $V_k$  as k gets large.

This can easily be done if A has a dominant eigenvalue with multiplicity one: an eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  with the property that

 $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_j|$  for j = 2, 3, ..., n.

#### Remark

Often, instead of finding an exact formula for  $V_k$ , it suffices to estimate  $V_k$  as k gets large.

This can easily be done if A has a dominant eigenvalue with multiplicity one: an eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  with the property that

 $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_j|$  for j = 2, 3, ..., n.

Suppose that

$$V_k = PD^k P^{-1} V_0,$$

and assume that A has a dominant eigenvalue,  $\lambda_1$ , with corresponding basic eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}_1$  as the first column of P. For convenience, write  $P^{-1}V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_n \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}_{k} &= \mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}^{k}\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{V}_{0} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{k} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= b_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{1} + b_{2}\lambda_{2}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{2} + \cdots + b_{n}\lambda_{n}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{n} \\
&= \lambda_{1}^{k}\left(b_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1} + b_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}\mathbf{x}_{2} + \cdots + b_{n}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Determinants and Diagonalization

Page 42/44

◄

Then

$$V_{k} = PD^{k}P^{-1}V_{0}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{k} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= b_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{1} + b_{2}\lambda_{2}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{2} + \cdots + b_{n}\lambda_{n}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{n}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}^{k}\left(b_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1} + b_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}\mathbf{x}_{2} + \cdots + b_{n}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)$$
Now,  $\left|\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right| < 1$  for  $j = 2, 3, \ldots n$ , and thus  $\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Determinants and Diagonalization

Page 42/44

◄
Then

$$V_{k} = PD^{k}P^{-1}V_{0}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1}^{k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{2}^{k} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n}^{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= b_{1}\lambda_{1}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{1} + b_{2}\lambda_{2}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{2} + \cdots + b_{n}\lambda_{n}^{k}\mathbf{x}_{n}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}^{k}\left(b_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1} + b_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}\mathbf{x}_{2} + \cdots + b_{n}\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k}\mathbf{x}_{n}\right)$$
Now,  $\left|\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right| < 1$  for  $j = 2, 3, \ldots n$ , and thus  $\left(\frac{\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{k} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .  
Therefore, for large values of  $k$ ,  $V_{k} \approx \lambda_{1}^{k}b_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1}$ .

Determinants and Diagonalization

Page 42/44

◄

lf

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array} \right], \text{ and } V_0 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right],$$

estimate  $V_k$  for large values of k.

◄

lf

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array} \right], \text{ and } V_0 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right],$$

estimate  $V_k$  for large values of k.

In our previous example, we found that A has eigenvalues 2 and -1. This means that  $\lambda_1 = 2$  is a dominant eigenvalue; let  $\lambda_2 = -1$ .

lf

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array} \right], \text{ and } V_0 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right],$$

estimate  $V_k$  for large values of k.

In our previous example, we found that A has eigenvalues 2 and -1. This means that  $\lambda_1 = 2$  is a dominant eigenvalue; let  $\lambda_2 = -1$ .

As before 
$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is a basic eigenvector for  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is a basic eigenvector for  $\lambda_2 = -1$ , giving us

lf

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{array} \right], \text{ and } V_0 = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -1 \end{array} \right],$$

estimate  $V_k$  for large values of k.

In our previous example, we found that A has eigenvalues 2 and -1. This means that  $\lambda_1 = 2$  is a dominant eigenvalue; let  $\lambda_2 = -1$ .

As before  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is a basic eigenvector for  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is a basic eigenvector for  $\lambda_2 = -1$ , giving us

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, and  $P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

# Example (continued)

$$P^{-1}V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

◄

Example (continued)

$$P^{-1}V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For large values of k,

$$V_k pprox \lambda_1^k b_1 {\sf x}_1 = 2^k (1) \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight] = \left[ egin{array}{c} 2^k \ 2^k \end{array} 
ight]$$

◄

Example (continued)

$$P^{-1}V_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For large values of k,

$$V_k pprox \lambda_1^k b_1 \mathsf{x}_1 = 2^k (1) \left[ egin{array}{c} 1 \ 1 \end{array} 
ight] = \left[ egin{array}{c} 2^k \ 2^k \end{array} 
ight]$$

Let's compare this to the formula for  $V_k$  that we obtained earlier:

$$V_k = \left[\begin{array}{c} 2^k \\ 2^k - 2(-1)^k \end{array}\right]$$

◄