F. FRANKLIN'S PROOF OF EULER'S PENTAGONAL NUMBER THEOREM

ABSTRACT. The 18^{th} century mathematician Leonard Euler discovered a simple formula for the expansion of the infinite product $\prod_{i\geq 1} 1 - q^i$. In 1881, one of the first American mathematicians found an elegant combinatorial proof of this identity.

Proposition 1. (Euler's pentagonal number theorem)

(1)
$$\prod_{i\geq 1} 1 - q^i = 1 + \sum_{m\geq 1} (-1)^m \left(q^{\frac{m(3m-1)}{2}} + q^{\frac{m(3m+1)}{2}} \right)$$

There is a clever proof of this proposition that comes from one of the first American mathematicians F. Franklin [4]. The proof uses a technique which is fairly ubiquitous in algebraic combinatorics, to show that terms in a sum cancel associate a combinatorial object to each term in the sum and then show that they cancel by producing a map which sends an element with positive weight to a term with negative weight.

There are several other accounts of this proof: [2], [6], [7], [9].

We will need to talk about partitions as a combinatorial object. λ is a partition if it is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$ where we use the notation $\ell(\lambda)$ to represent the number of parts of λ . The symbol $|\lambda|$ will represent the size of the partition so that $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$. λ is a strict partition if in addition $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$.

There is a way of graphically representing a partition with rows of boxes. A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ is represented by a row of λ_1 boxes below a row of λ_2 boxes below a row of λ_3 boxes etc. Each of these rows of cells will be left justified. For example the partition (4, 4, 3, 1, 1) is represented by the following diagram:

Example 1. We note that the left hand side of this equation is the generating function for all strict partitions (partitions where all parts are distinct) weighted with $(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)}q^{|\lambda|}$. That is,

(2)
$$\prod_{i\geq 1} 1 - q^i = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \text{ strict}}} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}$$

This follows by observing that to determine the coefficient of q^n by expansion of the product on the left we have a contribution of $(-1)^k q^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k}$ for every sequence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ such that $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i < k$. Below we expand the terms of this generating function through degree 8. For example, a term of the form $(-q^4)(-q^2)$ is represented by the picture \blacksquare and we record the weight of $+q^6$ just below the picture.

Now we notice that all of the terms cancel except for the ones stated in the theorem, that is we have

$$\prod_{i \ge 1} 1 - q^i = 1 - q - q^2 + q^5 + q^7 + \cdots$$

In fact, we will show that one way of looking at this expression is to observe terms which survive are those that correspond to the following pictures:

From the image in this example one might think that the theorem would be better named the *trapazoidal* number theorem. There is a reason that the numbers m(3m-1)/2 are referred to as pentagonal numbers and if $m \to -m$ then the pentagonal number is transformed to $\rightarrow -m(-3m-1)/2 = m(3m+1)/2$. Observe the picture below how a sequence of pentagons have exactly m(3m-1)/2 points in them (and this continues for m > 3).

Proof. To show that this proposition holds we show that there is an involution ϕ on the strict partitions λ of n such that $\phi(\lambda)$ is also a partition of n and the length of $\phi(\lambda)$ will have length either one smaller or one larger than that of λ . This means that if the weight of a strict partition is $(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)}q^{|\lambda|}$ then the weight of $\phi(\lambda)$ is $-(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)}q^{|\lambda|}$ and so this term corresponding to $\phi(\lambda)$ will cancel with the term corresponding to λ . This involution will fail to 'work' for the partitions of the form $(2m-1, 2m-2, \ldots, m)$ which are of size $2m^2 - \frac{(m+1)m}{2} = \frac{m(3m-1)}{2}$ and $(2m, 2m-1, \ldots, m+1)$ which are of size $2m^2 - \frac{(m-1)m}{2} = \frac{m(3m+1)}{2}$.

For a strict partition λ we will let r equal to the smallest part of λ $(r = \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ and let s equal the number of parts which are consecutive at the beginning of the partition. In other words s is the largest integer such that $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_1 - 1, \ldots, \lambda_1 - s + 1)$.

If $s \neq \ell(\lambda)$ and r > s then we will let $\phi(\lambda)$ equal the partition $(\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 1, \dots, \lambda_s - 1, \lambda_{s+1}, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}, s)$. That is, if the diagram for the partition looks something like the following where there is an \times in each of the cells corresponding to r and a dot in the cells corresponding to s

then $\phi(\lambda)$ will be the partition with the diagonal of s cells filled with a dot moved to the top row of the partition.

 $\phi(\lambda)$ is still a strict partition and it has the property that the longest string of consecutive parts at the beginning of the partition is greater than or equal to s.

If $s \neq \ell(\lambda)$ and $r \leq s$ then we will let $\phi(\lambda)$ equal to the partition $(\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2 + 1, \dots, \lambda_r + 1, \lambda_{r+1}, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$. For example, if our diagram is similar to the one below with the cells marked with an \times representing the row of size r and those marked with the \cdot represent the cells which correspond to the s consecutive parts at the beginning of the partition.



The partition corresponding to $\phi(\lambda)$ is then represented by the following picture.

		٠				
			٠	Х		
				٠	Х	
					٠	${ imes}$

Notice that it is also possible that $s = \ell(\lambda)$ and we consider this case separately because we need that r is at least 2 more cells than s does before we can move the s cells to the top row. In this case if r > s + 1 then we will remove the s cells along the diagonal and turn them

into the shortest row so that $\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 1, \dots, \lambda_s - 1, s)$. For example we have the picture on the left will be transformed to the one on the right.



If $s = \ell(\lambda)$ and r < s then it is still possible to move the shortest row of λ to the first r rows. We will set $\phi(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_2 + 1, \dots, \lambda_r + 1, \lambda_r, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)-1})$, this corresponds to the case when we have a partition of the form of the one below.



If we describe what is happening to the diagram the map ϕ does one of two things, either it removes the smallest row of $r = \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}$ cells of the partition and places one cell more in each of the first r rows (in the case that r < s or r = s and $s < \ell(\lambda)$) or it removes one cell from each of the first s rows and adds a row of size s to the top of the diagram (in the case that r > s + 1 or r = s + 1 and $s < \ell(\lambda)$).

Observe that if the weight of λ is $(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)}$ then since $\phi(\lambda)$ has the same number of cells and either one more or one less row than λ then the weight of $\phi(\lambda)$ is the negative of the weight of λ .

Also observe for each of the 4 cases we have considered, $\phi(\phi(\lambda))$ is just λ . This implies we can say that in the expansion of the expression $\sum_{\lambda \ strict} (-1)^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}$, the term corresponding to the partition λ will cancel with the term corresponding to the partition $\phi(\lambda)$ because the then $\phi(\lambda)$ will also cancel with $\phi(\phi(\lambda)) = \lambda$.

There are two cases that we have not considered. These terms do not cancel. One is that r = s and $s = \ell(\lambda)$ and so we have a partition of the form $(2m-1, 2m-2, \ldots, m)$ and the other is that r = s+1 and $s = \ell(\lambda)$ and this is a partition of the form $(2m, 2m-1, \ldots, m+1)$. \Box

We encourage the reader to take a pencil and draw an arrow between the diagrams of the strict partitions given in the example above to show that the involution works as expected.

References

- [1] G. Andrews, Euler's Pentagonal Number Theorem, Mathematics Magazine, 56 no. 5 (1983), 279–284.
- [2] G. Chrystal, Algebra, Part II, 2nd ed., Black, London, 1931.
- [3] L. Euler, Evolutio producti infiniti $(1-x)(1-xx)(1-x^3)(1-x^4)(1-x^5)(1-x^6)$ etc., Opera Omnia, (1) 3, 472–479.
- (1) 3, 412–413. [4] F. Franklin, Sur le développement du produit infini $(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)\cdots$, Comptes Rendus, 82 (1881).
- [5] E. Grosswald, Topics in the Theory of Numbers, Macmillan, New York, 1966; Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
- [6] H. Gupta, Selected Topics in Number Theory, Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, 1980.
- [7] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 4th ed., Oxford University Press, London 1960.
- [8] I. Niven and H. Zuckerman, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 3rd ed., Wiley, New York, 1973.
- [9] H. Rademacher, Topics in Analytic Number Theory, Grundlehren series, vol 169, Springer, New York, 1973.