



# Braided differential calculus and quantum Schubert calculus

Anatol N. Kirillov and Toshiaki Maeno

**ABSTRACT.** We provide a new realization of the quantum cohomology ring of a flag variety as a certain commutative subalgebra in the cross product of the Nichols-Woronowicz algebras associated to a certain Yetter-Drinfeld module over the Weyl group. We also give a generalization of some recent results by Y. Bazlov to the case of the Grothendieck ring of a flag variety of classical type.

**RÉSUMÉ.** Nous fournissons une nouvelle réalisation de l'anneau de la cohomologie quantique d'une variété de drapeaux comme sous-algèbre commutative dans le produit croisé des algèbres de Nichols-Woronowicz associées à un certain module de Yetter-Drinfeld sur le groupe de Weyl. Nous donnons aussi une généralisation de résultats récents par Y. Bazlov au cas de l'anneau de Grothendieck d'une variété de drapeaux de type classique.

## 1. Introduction

The main purpose of this work is

- to construct a model of the quantum cohomology ring of the flag variety  $G/B$  corresponding to a semisimple finite-dimensional Lie group  $G$  as a quantization of Bazlov's model of the coinvariant algebra of finite Coxeter groups,
- to construct a model for the Grothendieck ring of the flag varieties of classical type, in terms of a braided (and discrete) analogue of the differential calculus.

Such a construction of a model for the classical cohomology ring of a flag variety, and more generally for the coinvariant algebra of a finite Coxeter group, as a subalgebra in a braided Hopf algebra called the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra has been invented recently by Y. Bazlov [2]. In the present paper we provide a new realization of the quantum cohomology ring of a flag variety as a certain commutative subalgebra in the braided cross product of the corresponding Nichols-Woronowicz algebra and its dual. We also give a generalization of some results from [2] to the case of the Grothendieck ring of the flag variety of classical type.

The  $K$ -theoretic counterpart of the theory of the quantum cohomology ring has been invented by Givental and Lee. In their paper [8], they study the quantum  $K$ -theory for the flag variety in a connection with the difference Toda system. The author hopes to report on the Nichols-Woronowicz model of the quantum Grothendieck ring of the flag variety elsewhere in the near future. A description of the quantum  $K$ -ring of the flag variety of type  $A$  in terms of generators and relations will be given in our forthcoming paper [13].

## 2. Braided differential calculus

In order to formulate our construction, we will remind of the basic notion on the braided differential calculus and the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra in this section.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** The category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with a functor  $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , a collection of isomorphisms

$$(\Phi_{U,V,W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes W \rightarrow U \otimes (V \otimes W))_{U,V,W \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})},$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* braided Hopf algebras, quantum cohomology rings, flag varieties.

The authors thank Yuri Bazlov for explaining his result. This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research.

an object  $\mathbf{1} \in \text{Ob}(C)$  and isomorphisms of functors

$$\iota_{\text{left}} : \bullet \otimes \mathbf{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}, \quad \iota_{\text{right}} : \mathbf{1} \otimes \bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}$$

is called a monoidal category if the following diagrams commute:

(1) (pentagon condition)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (U \otimes V) \otimes (W \otimes X) & \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \\ ((U \times V) \otimes W) \otimes X & & U \otimes (V \otimes (W \otimes X)) \\ & \downarrow & \uparrow \\ (U \otimes (V \otimes W)) \otimes X & \longrightarrow & U \otimes ((V \otimes W) \otimes X), \end{array}$$

where all the arrows are induced by  $\Phi$ ,

(2) (triangle condition)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U \otimes \mathbf{1}) \otimes V & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & U \otimes (\mathbf{1} \otimes V) \\ \iota \otimes \text{id} \searrow & & \swarrow \text{id} \otimes \iota \\ & U \otimes V. & \end{array}$$

DEFINITION 2.2. A monoidal category  $C = (C, \otimes, \Phi, \mathbf{1}, \iota)$  is called a braided category if a collection of functorial isomorphisms

$$(\Psi_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U)_{U,V \in \text{Ob}(C)}$$

is given so that the following hexagon conditions are satisfied:

$$(\Psi \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ (\text{id} \otimes \Psi) = \Phi^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ \Phi^{-1} : U \otimes (V \otimes W) \longrightarrow (W \otimes U) \otimes V,$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes \Phi) \circ \Phi \circ (\Psi \otimes \text{id}) = \Phi \circ \Psi \circ \Phi : (U \otimes V) \otimes W \longrightarrow V \otimes (W \otimes U).$$

Let us take a braided category  $C$  consisting of vector spaces over a fixed field  $k$  and a braided vector space  $V \in \text{Ob}(C)$ . Then, the braiding  $\psi_V : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$  is naturally associated to  $V$ , and the pair  $(V, \psi_V)$  is used to designate  $V$  together with the braiding  $\psi_V$ . Note that the morphism  $\psi_V$  is not necessarily an involution. Denote by  $\psi_i$  the endomorphism on the tensor product  $V^{\otimes n}$  obtained by applying  $\psi_V$  on the  $i$ -th and  $(i+1)$ -st components of  $V^{\otimes n}$ . Then the braid relation

$$\psi_i \psi_{i+1} \psi_i = \psi_{i+1} \psi_i \psi_{i+1}$$

is a consequence of the hexagon condition.

The Nichols-Woronowicz algebra provides a natural framework to discuss the braided differential calculus. When a finite-dimensional braided vector space  $(V, \psi)$  is given, we can attach naturally a braided Hopf algebra structure to the tensor algebra  $T(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes n}$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A  $k$ -algebra  $A$  in the braided category  $C$  is called a braided algebra if its multiplication  $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$  commutes with the braiding  $\psi = \psi_A$ , i.e.

$$(m \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\psi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \psi) = \psi \circ (\text{id} \otimes m) : A \otimes A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A.$$

The tensor algebra  $T(V)$  is naturally braided by the braiding  $\psi_{T(V)}$  which is uniquely characterized by the conditions:

- (1)  $T(V)$  is a braided algebra,
- (2)  $\psi_{T(V)}|_{T^1(V) \otimes T^1(V)} = \psi_V$ .

Now we can discuss the braided Hopf algebra structure on the tensor algebra  $T(V)$ . Define the linear maps  $\Delta : V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ ,  $S : V \rightarrow V$  and  $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow k$  by

$$\Delta(v) := v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v, \quad S(v) := -v, \quad \varepsilon(v) := 0.$$

Then, one can extend the maps  $\Delta$ ,  $S$  and  $\varepsilon$  to endomorphisms on  $T(V)$  so that they respectively define the coproduct, the antipode and the counit of the braided Hopf algebra. In particular,  $\Delta$  is made to satisfy the condition

$$(m \otimes m) \circ (\text{id} \otimes \psi \otimes \text{id}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta) = \Delta \circ m, \quad \text{on } T(V) \otimes T(V).$$

We call  $T(V)$  the free braided Hopf algebra or the free braided group.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $H$  and  $K$  be braided Hopf algebras provided with a  $k$ -linear pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times K \rightarrow k$ . We say that  $H$  and  $K$  are dually paired if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma, \kappa \kappa' \rangle &= \langle \gamma_{(1)}, \kappa' \rangle \langle \gamma_{(2)}, \kappa \rangle, \quad \langle \gamma \gamma', \kappa \rangle = \langle \gamma', \kappa_{(1)} \rangle \langle \gamma, \kappa_{(2)} \rangle, \\ \langle \gamma, 1 \rangle &= \varepsilon_H(\gamma), \quad \langle 1, \kappa \rangle = \varepsilon_K(\kappa), \quad \langle S_H(\gamma), \kappa \rangle = \langle \gamma, S_K(\kappa) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we use Sweedler's notation  $\Delta(a) = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$ . If the conditions above are satisfied, the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is called a duality pairing.

Let  $V^*$  be the dual vector space of  $V$ . Then it has the natural braiding  $\psi^*$  dual to  $\psi$ . It is nontrivial problem to extend the natural pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V^* \times V \rightarrow k$  to the duality pairing between the braided Hopf algebras  $T(V^*)$  and  $T(V)$ . The construction due to Woronowicz [22] guarantees the possibility of such an extension of the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Note that from the braid relation one can define the endomorphism  $\Psi_w$  on  $V^{\otimes n}$  associated to an element  $w$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$  with a reduced decomposition  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ ,  $s_i = (i, i+1)$ , as  $\Psi_w := \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_l}$ . The *Woronowicz symmetrizer* is defined as  $\sigma_n(\psi) := \sum_{w \in S_n} \Psi_w$ . Then the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V^* \times V \rightarrow k$  can be extended to the one between  $(V^*)^{\otimes n}$  and  $V^{\otimes n}$  for each  $n \geq 2$  by the formula

$$\langle \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_n, v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \rangle := (\alpha_n \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_1)(\sigma_n(\psi)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n)), \quad \alpha_i \in V^*, v_j \in V.$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The free braided Hopf algebras  $T(V^*)$  and  $T(V)$  are dually paired with respect to the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : T(V^*) \times T(V) \rightarrow k$ .*

The dually paired braided Hopf algebras  $T(V^*)$  and  $T(V)$  are not appropriate objects to perform the braided differential calculus on them, since the kernel of the duality pairing is big in general. The *Nichols-Woronowicz algebra* is a braided Hopf algebra which is obtained as a quotient of the free braided Hopf algebra by the kernel of the duality pairing. Such a construction is due to Majid [17]. Note that the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} I(V^*) &:= \{\xi \in T(V^*) \mid \langle \xi, x \rangle = 0, \forall x \in T(V)\}, \\ I(V) &:= \{x \in T(V) \mid \langle \xi, x \rangle = 0, \forall \xi \in T(V^*)\} \end{aligned}$$

are Hopf ideals.

DEFINITION 2.5. The Nichols-Woronowicz algebras  $\mathbf{B}(V^*)$  and  $\mathbf{B}(V)$  are the dually paired braided Hopf algebras defined to be the quotients of the free braided Hopf algebras by  $I(V^*)$  and  $I(V)$  respectively:

$$\mathbf{B}(V^*) := T(V^*)/I(V^*), \quad \mathbf{B}(V) := T(V)/I(V).$$

The following equivalent definition is due to Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [1]:

DEFINITION 2.6. The Nichols-Woronowicz algebra  $\mathbf{B}(V)$  is the graded braided Hopf algebra characterized by the conditions:

- (1)  $\mathbf{B}^0(V) = k$ ,
- (2)  $V = \mathbf{B}^1(V) = \{x \in \mathbf{B}(V) \mid \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x\}$ ,
- (3)  $\mathbf{B}(V)$  is generated by  $\mathbf{B}^1(V)$  as an algebra.

Each element  $v \in \mathbf{B}^1(V)$  acts on  $\mathbf{B}(V^*)$  as a *twisted derivation*  $\overleftarrow{D}_v$  from the right:

$$\overleftarrow{D}_v : \mathbf{B}(V^*) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathbf{B}(V^*) \otimes \mathbf{B}(V^*) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \langle \cdot, v \rangle} \mathbf{B}(V^*) \otimes k = \mathbf{B}(V^*).$$

The twisted derivation  $\overleftarrow{D}_v$  satisfies the twisted Leibniz rule

$$(fg)\overleftarrow{D}_v = f(g\overleftarrow{D}_v) + f \triangleleft \psi^{-1}(g \otimes \overleftarrow{D}_v),$$

where  $f \triangleleft \psi^{-1}(g \otimes \overleftarrow{D}_v) = \sum_i (f \overleftarrow{D}_{v_i}) g_i$  if  $\psi^{-1}(g \otimes v) = \sum_i v_i \otimes g_i$ . This action extends to the left action of the opposite algebra  $\mathbf{B}(V)^{op}$  on  $\mathbf{B}(V)$ . The braided cross product  $\mathbf{B}(V)^{op} \bowtie \mathbf{B}(V^*)$  with respect to the action by the twisted derivations can be identified with the algebra of the braided differential operators acting on  $\mathbf{B}(V^*)$ . In other words, the algebra structure of  $\mathbf{B}(V)^{op} \bowtie \mathbf{B}(V^*)$  is given by the multiplication rule

$$(u \otimes x) \cdot (v \otimes y) = u(\psi^{-1}(x \otimes v_{(1)}) \triangleleft v_{(2)})y$$

on  $\mathbf{B}(V)^{op} \otimes \mathbf{B}(V^*)$ , see [18] for details.

At the end of this section, we introduce an important example of the braided categories, which is called the category of the *Yetter-Drinfeld modules*. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group.

DEFINITION 2.7. A  $k$ -vector space  $V$  is called a Yetter-Drinfeld module over  $\Gamma$ , if

- (1)  $V$  is a  $\Gamma$ -module,
- (2)  $V$  is  $\Gamma$ -graded, i.e.  $V = \bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma} V_g$ , where  $V_g$  is a linear subspace of  $V$ ,
- (3) for  $h \in \Gamma$  and  $v \in V_g$ ,  $h(v) \in V_{hgh^{-1}}$ .

One of the importance of the category  ${}^{\Gamma}YD$  of the Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a fixed group  $\Gamma$  is that it is naturally braided. The tensor product of  $V$  and  $W$  in  ${}^{\Gamma}YD$  is again a Yetter-Drinfeld module with the  $\Gamma$ -action  $g(v \otimes w) = g(v) \otimes g(w)$  and the  $\Gamma$ -grading  $(V \otimes W)_g = \bigoplus_{h, h' \in \Gamma, hh'=g} V_h \otimes W_{h'}$ . The braiding between  $V$  and  $W$  is defined by  $\psi_{V,W}(v \otimes w) = g(w) \otimes v$ , for  $v \in V_g$  and  $w \in W$ .

### 3. Nichols-Woronowicz model of quantum Schubert calculus

Let  $G$  be a connected, simply-connected and semi-simple complex Lie group. Fix a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G$ . Denote by  $\Delta$  the set of roots, which is decomposed into the disjoint union  $\Delta = \Delta_+ \sqcup (-\Delta_+)$  by choosing the set of positive roots  $\Delta_+$  corresponding to  $B$ . Our main interest is a combinatorial structure of the (quantum) cohomology ring of the flag variety  $G/B$ . It is well-known that the cohomology ring of the flag variety is isomorphic to the quotient ring of the ring of polynomial functions on the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  by the ideal generated by the fundamental invariants  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ ,  $r = \text{rk} \mathfrak{h}$ , of the Weyl group  $W$ , i.e.

$$H^*(G/B, \mathbf{Q}) \cong \text{Sym}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathfrak{h}^* / (f_1, \dots, f_r).$$

On the other hand, the Schubert classes  $\Omega_w$ ,  $w \in W$ , corresponding to the dual of the cycles  $\overline{Bw_0wB/B}$  form a linear basis of  $H^*(G/B, \mathbf{Q})$ . Then the fundamental problems of the Schubert calculus are stated as follows:

PROBLEM 3.1. (1) Find the natural polynomial representative for the Schubert class  $\Omega_w$  in the coinvariant algebra  $\text{Sym}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathfrak{h}^* / (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ .

(2) Determine the structure constants  $c_{uv}^w$  in the multiplication rule

$$\Omega_u \Omega_v = \sum_{w \in W} c_{uv}^w \Omega_w.$$

The answer to the first problem (1) is given for example by the polynomials due to Bernstein, Gelfand and Gelfand [3] for general root system, and Schubert polynomials defined by Lascoux and Schützenberger [14] for the root system of type  $A$ . The latter have nice combinatorial properties. As for the second problem (2), the structure constants  $c_{uv}^w$  are complicated in general. However, for special choices of the element  $u, v, w \in W$ , some combinatorial descriptions of the constants  $c_{uv}^w$ , such as Pieri's formula, are known.

The origin of the model of the cohomology ring of the flag variety in terms of a certain noncommutative algebra defined by the data of the root system is the work by Fomin and Kirillov [5]. They have introduced an associative  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$ , for the root system of type  $A_{n-1}$ , generated by the symbols

$$[i, j] = -[j, i], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j,$$

subject to the quadratic relations:

- (1)  $[i, j]^2 = 0$ ,
- (2)  $[i, j][k, l] = [k, l][i, j]$ , if  $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$ ,
- (3)  $[i, j][j, k] + [j, k][k, i] + [k, i][i, j] = 0$ .

Define the *Dunkl element*  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  in  $\mathcal{E}_n$  by

$$\theta_i := \sum_{j \neq i} [i, j].$$

Then one can check the commutativity  $\theta_i \theta_j - \theta_j \theta_i = 0$ ,  $\forall i, j$ , from the quadratic relations above.

THEOREM 3.1. (Fomin and Kirillov [5]) *The subalgebra generated by the Dunkl elements is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the flag variety  $Fl_n$  of type  $A_{n-1}$ . The isomorphism is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_n \supset \mathbf{Q}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n] &\rightarrow H^*(Fl_n), \\ \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n &\mapsto \Omega_{s_i}. \end{aligned}$$

The key tool which connects the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$  to the Schubert calculus is the following *Bruhat representation*.

DEFINITION 3.2. The Bruhat representation of  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is defined to be the representation on the vector space  $\bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbf{Q} \cdot w$  by

$$[i, j]w = \begin{cases} ws_{ij}, & \text{if } l(ws_{ij}) = l(w) + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $i < j$  and  $s_{ij}$  is the transposition of  $i$  and  $j$ .

The algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$  admits a natural quantum deformation which corresponds to the quantum cohomology ring of the flag variety. The quantum cohomology ring  $QH^*(G/B)$  of the flag variety  $G/B$  also has a structure of a quotient ring of the polynomial ring  $\text{Sym}_{\mathbf{Q}\mathfrak{h}^*} \otimes \mathbf{Q}[q_1, \dots, q_r]$ , where  $q_1, \dots, q_r$  are deformation parameters corresponding to the simple roots. The generators  $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_r$  of the defining ideal of  $QH^*(G/B)$  are explicitly determined by Givental and Kim [7] for the root system of type  $A$ , and by Kim [9] for general root systems. Roughly speaking, they are the conserved quantities of the Toda system. Denote by  $R$  the polynomial ring  $\mathbf{Q}[q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}]$ .

DEFINITION 3.3. The quantum deformed quadratic algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$  is an  $R$ -algebra defined by the same symbols and relations as those for the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$  except that the relation (1) for  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is replaced by (1)',

$$[i, j]^2 = \begin{cases} q_i, & \text{if } i = j - 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } i < j - 1. \end{cases}$$

The quantized version of the Bruhat representation of  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$  is also defined on  $\bigoplus_{w \in W} R \cdot w$ . The action of the generator  $[i, j]$ ,  $i < j$ , is given by

$$[i, j]w = \begin{cases} ws_{ij}, & \text{if } l(ws_{ij}) = l(w) + 1, \\ q_i q_{i+1} \cdots q_{j-1} ws_{ij}, & \text{if } l(ws_{ij}) = l(w) - 2(j - i) + 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

The Dunkl elements  $\theta_i$  in the quantized algebra  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$  is defined as before. The following theorem was first conjectured in [5] and later proved by Postnikov [21].

THEOREM 3.4. *The subalgebra generated by the Dunkl elements is isomorphic to the quantum cohomology ring of the flag variety  $Fl_n$  of type  $A_{n-1}$ .*

Their description of the (quantum) cohomology ring  $Fl_n$  in terms of the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$  (or  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n$ ) is of use to consider Problem 2.1 combinatorially. See [5] and [21] for the detail on this point. A generalization to other root systems is treated in [10].

The algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is defined by generators and relations, so it is a problem to understand its meaning conceptually. The importance of the (braided) Hopf algebra structure of  $\mathcal{E}_n$  has been pointed out by [6], [20] and other works. Now it is conjectured that the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is a kind of the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra. Bazlov [2] has constructed a model of the cohomology ring of the flag variety  $G/B$  by using a Nichols-Woronowicz algebra  $\mathbf{B}_W$  defined below instead of  $\mathcal{E}_n$ . When we work on the algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$ , all the considerations are based on the defining relations and the Bruhat representation. On the other hand, the results on the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra should come from the method of the braided differential calculus. Hence, the argument for the algebra  $\mathbf{B}_W$  is completely different from that for  $\mathcal{E}_n$ .

Let us define a Yetter-Drinfeld module  $V = V_W$  over the Weyl group  $W$ . We consider a vector space  $V$  generated by the symbols  $[\alpha] = -[-\alpha]$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta$  :

$$V = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{Q} \cdot [\alpha] / ([\alpha] + [-\alpha]).$$

The action of  $w \in W$  on  $V$  is given by  $w[\alpha] = [w(\alpha)]$ . If we set the  $W$ -degree of the symbol  $[\alpha]$  to be the reflection  $s_\alpha$ , then  $V$  becomes a Yetter-Drinfeld module over  $W$ . The braiding  $\psi : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$  is given by  $\psi([\alpha] \otimes [\beta]) = s_\alpha([\beta]) \otimes [\alpha]$ . The braided vector space  $V$  is identified with its dual  $V^*$  via a  $W$ -invariant inner product on  $V$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{B}_W$  the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra associated to the Yetter-Drinfeld module  $V$ .

REMARK 3.5. It is conjectured that the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra  $\mathbf{B}_W$  for  $A_{n-1}$  should be isomorphic to the Fomin-Kirillov quadratic algebra  $\mathcal{E}_n$ . This conjecture is now confirmed up to  $n = 6$ .

Consider a  $W$ -homomorphism  $\mu_0 : \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow V$ . The homomorphism  $\mu_0$  can be written as

$$\mu_0(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} c_\alpha(\alpha, x)[\alpha],$$

by using a set of  $W$ -invariant constants  $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ . Then the following result corresponds to the commutativity of the Dunkl elements.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *The image of  $\mu_0$  generates a commutative subalgebra in  $\mathbf{B}_W$ .*

Then  $\mu_0$  can be extended to an algebra homomorphism  $\mu : \text{Sym}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_W$ .

**THEOREM 3.6.** (Bazlov [2]) *If  $\mu_0$  is injective, the image of  $\mu$  is isomorphic to the cohomology ring  $H^*(G/B, \mathbf{Q})$ .*

**REMARK 3.7.** Bazlov proved the theorem above for arbitrary finite Coxeter groups and for their coinvariant algebras (over  $\mathbf{R}$ ).

The braided differential operator  $\overleftarrow{D}_\alpha = \overleftarrow{D}_{[\alpha]}$  plays an important role for the proof of Theorem 2.3. Indeed, the following properties

- (1)  $\mu(f) \overleftarrow{D}_\alpha = c_\alpha \mu(\partial_\alpha f)$ ,
- (2)  $\cap_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \text{Ker}(\overleftarrow{D}_\alpha) = \mathbf{B}_W^0 (= \mathbf{Q})$

imply the result. Here, we denote by  $\partial_\alpha$  the divided difference operator on  $\text{Sym}_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathfrak{h}^*$  :

$$\partial_\alpha(f) := \frac{f - s_\alpha(f)}{\alpha}.$$

We introduce a quantum deformed version of Bazlov's construction. Let  $R = \mathbf{Q}[q^{\alpha^\vee} | \alpha \in \Delta_+]$ , where the parameters  $q^\alpha$  satisfy the condition  $q^{a+b} = q^a q^b$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{B}_{W,R}$  the scalar extension  $R \otimes \mathbf{B}_W$ . Since the twisted derivations  $\overleftarrow{D}_\alpha$  satisfy the Coxeter relations, one can define the operators  $\overleftarrow{D}_w$  for any elements  $w \in W$  by  $\overleftarrow{D}_w = \overleftarrow{D}_{\alpha_1} \cdots \overleftarrow{D}_{\alpha_l}$  for a reduced decomposition  $w = s_{\alpha_1} \cdots s_{\alpha_l}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.8.** Let  $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$  be a set of nonzero constants with the condition  $c_\alpha = c_{w\alpha}$ ,  $w \in W$ . For each root  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$ , we define an element  $[\widetilde{\alpha}]$  in the algebra of braided differential operators  $\mathbf{B}_{W,R}^{op} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{W,R}$  by

$$[\widetilde{\alpha}] := \begin{cases} c_\alpha[\alpha] + d_\alpha q^{\alpha^\vee} \overleftarrow{D}_{s_\alpha}, & \text{if } l(s_\alpha) = 2\text{ht}(\alpha^\vee) - 1, \\ c_\alpha[\alpha], & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases},$$

where  $d_\alpha = (c_{\alpha_1} \cdots c_{\alpha_l})^{-1}$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mu}_0$  be a  $W$ -homomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{W,R}^{op} \otimes (R \oplus V_R)$  given by

$$\tilde{\mu}_0(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (\alpha, x) [\widetilde{\alpha}].$$

The image of  $\tilde{\mu}_0$  again generates a commutative subalgebra in  $\mathbf{B}_{W,R}^{op} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{W,R}$ , so it can be extended to an algebra homomorphism  $\tilde{\mu} : \text{Sym}_R \mathfrak{h}_R^* \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{W,R}^{op} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{W,R}$ . Now we can state our main result:

**THEOREM 3.9.** ([11]) *The image of  $\tilde{\mu}$  is isomorphic to the quantum cohomology ring of the flag variety  $G/B$ .*

The key fact to prove this theorem is that the action of the operator  $\tilde{\mu}_0(x)$  on  $\text{Im}(\mu)$  coincides with the quantization operator by Fomin, Gelfand and Postnikov [4] for  $A_{n-1}$  and by Maré [19] for other root systems.

#### 4. Model of the Grothendieck ring

The Nichols-Woronowicz model of the Grothendieck ring  $K(G/B)$  of the holomorphic vector bundles on the flag variety  $G/B$  has also been constructed for the classical root systems and  $G_2$  in [12]. In this section, we briefly show the construction of the model of  $K(G/B)$  for the root system of type  $B_n$ .

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , and  $\{\pm e_i \pm e_j, \pm e_i \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$  be a standard realization of the root system  $\Delta = \Delta(B_n)$ . For simplicity, we use the symbols  $[i, j]$ ,  $[\overline{i, j}]$  and  $[i]$  to denote  $[e_i - e_j]$ ,  $[e_i + e_j]$  and  $[e_i]$  in  $\mathbf{B}_W$  respectively. Then the elements  $h_{ij} := 1 + [i, j]$ ,  $g_{ij} := 1 + [\overline{i, j}]$  and

$h_i := 1 + [i]$  are solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations:

(1)  $h_{ij}h_{kl} = h_{kl}h_{ij}$ ,  $g_{ij}g_{kl} = g_{kl}g_{ij}$ , for  $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset$ ,

$h_i h_j = h_j h_i$ ,  $h_{ij} g_{ij} = g_{ij} h_{ij}$ ,

(2)  $h_{ij} h_{ik} h_{jk} = h_{jk} h_{ik} h_{ij}$ ,  $h_{ij} g_{ik} g_{jk} = g_{jk} g_{ik} h_{ij}$ ,

(3)  $h_{ij} h_i g_{ij} h_j = h_j g_{ij} h_i h_{ij}$ .

The equations (1), (2) and (3) are respectively corresponding to the subsystems of types  $A_1 \times A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $B_2$ .

DEFINITION 4.1. We define the multiplicative Dunkl elements or the Ruijsenaars-Schneider-Macdonald elements  $\Theta_1^B, \dots, \Theta_n^B$  of type  $B_n$  by the formula

$$\Theta_i^B := h_{i-1}^{-1} h_{i-2}^{-1} \cdots h_1^{-1} \cdot h_i \cdot g_{1i} g_{2i} \cdots g_{ni} \cdot h_i \cdot h_{in} h_{i,n-1} \cdots h_{i,i+1}.$$

The multiplicative Dunkl elements  $\Theta_i^D$  (resp.  $\Theta_i^A$ ) of type  $D_n$  (resp.  $A_{n-1}$ ) are obtained by the specialization  $h_i \mapsto 1$  (resp.  $g_{ij} \mapsto 1$  and  $h_i \mapsto 1$ ).

REMARK 4.2. The multiplicative Dunkl elements have been also introduced by Lenart and Yong [15], [16] for the root system of type  $A$ .

The commutativity  $\Theta_i^B \Theta_j^B = \Theta_j^B \Theta_i^B$  follows from the Yang-Baxter relations.

THEOREM 4.3. ([12]) *The subalgebra in the Nichols-Woronowicz algebra  $\mathbf{B}_{B_n}$  generated by the multiplicative Dunkl elements  $\Theta_1^B, \dots, \Theta_n^B$  is isomorphic to the Grothendieck ring  $K(G/B)$  of the flag variety  $G/B$  of type  $B_n$ .*

COROLLARY 4.1. The following identity in the algebra  $\mathbf{B}_{B_n}$  holds:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (\Theta_j^B + (\Theta_j^B)^{-1})^k = n \cdot 2^k$$

for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

REMARK 4.4. The results for  $D_n$  and  $A_{n-1}$  are obtained by the specializations  $h_i \mapsto 1$ ,  $\forall i$ , and  $h_i \mapsto 1$ ,  $g_{ij} \mapsto 1$ ,  $\forall i, j$ , respectively.

The (small) quantum  $K$ -ring  $QK(Fl_n)$  of the flag variety  $Fl_n$  has the following expression by generators and relations:

$$QK(Fl_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}[q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}][X_1, \dots, X_n] / (\varphi_k^q(X), k = 1, \dots, n),$$

where

$$\varphi_k^q(X) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}, |I|=k} \prod_{i \in I} X_i \prod_{i \notin I, i+1 \in I} (1 - q_i) - \binom{n}{k}.$$

Let us introduce the quantized multiplicative Dunkl elements by substituting  $[\widetilde{ij}]$  defined in Definition 3.8 for  $[ij]$  in the definition of  $\Theta_i^A$ . Here, we put  $c_\alpha = 1$ . More precisely, we define the quantized multiplicative Dunkl elements  $\widetilde{\Theta}_i^A$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , of type  $A_{n-1}$  by the formula

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_i^A = (1 - q_{i-1}) \widetilde{h}_{i-1}^{-1} \widetilde{h}_{i-2}^{-1} \cdots \widetilde{h}_1^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{h}_i \widetilde{h}_{in} \widetilde{h}_{i,n-1} \cdots \widetilde{h}_{i,i+1},$$

where  $\widetilde{h}_{ij} := 1 + [\widetilde{ij}] = 1 + [ij] + q_i \cdots q_{j-1} \overleftarrow{D}_{s_{ij}}$ ,  $i < j$ .

THEOREM 4.5. ([13]) *The equalities*

$$\varphi_k^q(\widetilde{\Theta}_1^A, \dots, \widetilde{\Theta}_n^A) = 0, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

hold in the algebra  $\mathbf{B}_{A_{n-1}, R}^{op} \rtimes \mathbf{B}_{A_{n-1}, R}$ .

## References

- [1] N. Andruskiewitsch and H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed Hopf algebras*, New directions in Hopf algebras, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002, 1-68.
- [2] Y. Bazlov, *Nichols-Woronowicz algebra model for Schubert calculus on Coxeter groups*, preprint, math.QA/0409206.
- [3] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand and S. I. Gelfand, *Schubert cells and cohomology of the spaces  $G/P$* , Russian Math. Surveys, **28** (1973), 1-26.
- [4] S. Fomin, S. Gelfand and A. Postnikov, *Quantum Schubert polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1997), 565-596.
- [5] S. Fomin and A. N. Kirillov, *Quadratic algebras, Dunkl elements and Schubert calculus*, Advances in Geometry, (J.-L. Brylinski, R. Brylinski, V. Nistor, B. Tsygan, and P. Xu, eds.) Progress in Math., **172**, Birkhäuser, 1995, 147-182.
- [6] S. Fomin and C. Procesi, *Fibered quadratic Hopf algebras related to Schubert calculus*, J. Algebra, **230** (2000), 174-183.
- [7] A. Givental and B. Kim, *Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds and Toda lattices*, Commun. Math. Phys., **168** (1995), 609-641.
- [8] A. Givental and Y.-P. Lee, *Quantum  $K$ -theory on flag manifolds, finite-difference Toda lattices and quantum groups*, Invent. Math., **151** (2003), 193-219.
- [9] B. Kim, *Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds  $G/B$  and quantum Toda lattices*, Ann. of Math., **149** (1999), 129-148.
- [10] A. N. Kirillov and T. Maeno, *Noncommutative algebras related with Schubert calculus on Coxeter groups*, European J. Combin., **25** (2004), 1301-1325.
- [11] A. N. Kirillov and T. Maeno, *A note on quantization operators on Nichols algebra model for Schubert calculus on Weyl groups*, Lett. Math. Phys., **72** (2005), 233-241.
- [12] A. N. Kirillov and T. Maeno, *On some noncommutative algebras related to  $K$ -theory of flag varieties, part I*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2005**, no. 60, 3753-3789.
- [13] A. N. Kirillov and T. Maeno, *A note on quantum  $K$ -theory of flag varieties*, in preparation.
- [14] A. Lascoux and M.-P. Schützenberger, *Polynômes de Schubert*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **294** (1982), 447-450.
- [15] C. Lenart, *The  $K$ -theory of the flag variety and the Fomin-Kirillov quadratic algebra*, J. Algebra, **285** (2005), 120-135.
- [16] C. Lenart and A. Yong, *Lecture notes on the  $K$ -theory of the flag variety and the Fomin-Kirillov quadratic algebra*, <http://www.math.umn.edu/~ayong/>
- [17] S. Majid, *Free braided differential calculus, braided binomial theorem, and the braided exponential map*, J. Math. Phys., **34** (1993), 4843-4856.
- [18] S. Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [19] A.-L. Maré, *The combinatorial quantum cohomology ring of  $G/B$* , J. Alg. Combin., **21** (2005), 331-349.
- [20] A. Milinski and H.-J. Schneider, *Pointed indecomposable Hopf algebras over Coxeter groups*, Contemp. Math. **267** (2000), 215-236.
- [21] A. Postnikov, *On a quantum version of Pieri's formula*, Advances in Geometry, (J.-L. Brylinski, R. Brylinski, V. Nistor, B. Tsygan and P. Xu, eds.) Progress in Math., **172** Birkhäuser, 1995, 371-383.
- [22] S. L. Woronowicz, *Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups)*, Commun. Math. Phys., **122** (1989), 125-170.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* kirillov@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* maeno@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp