Bogdan Panfilie

November 24, 2016

## Modules Over A Ring

For the purpose of this presentation we will be considering a commutative ring A with unity  $(1_{A})$ 

#### <u>Modules</u>

*Definition*: M is an A-**module** is an abelian group w.r.t. addition and with a mapping : A x M → M such that (a,x) is ax that satisfies the following conditions  $\forall a,b \in A$  and  $\forall x,y \in M$ : (1) a(x + y) = ax + ay

(2)(a+b)x = ax + bx

(3) (ab)x = a(bx)

 $(4) 1_A x = x$ 

*Definition*: M, N modules, f: M  $\rightarrow$  N is an A-module homomorphism if it satisfies  $\forall a \in A$  and  $\forall x, y \in M$ :

(1) f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)(2) f(ax) = af(x)

*Definition*: M, N modules. Hom<sub>A</sub>(M,N) is the set of A-module homomorphisms from M to N.

<u>Submodules</u>

*Definition*:  $M' \subseteq M$  is a submodule if it is a subgroup of M and it is closed under multiplication. That is,  $\forall a \in A$ , and  $\forall x \in M$  then  $ax \in M$ .

Prop . (The Submodule Criterion) Let A be a ring and let M be an A-module. A subset M' of M is a submodule of M if and only if (1)  $M' \neq \emptyset$ , and (2)  $x + ay \in M'$  for all  $a \in A$  and for all  $x, y \in M'$ .

### <u>Proof</u>

 $\longrightarrow$  M' is a submodule, then  $0 \in$  M' so N  $\neq \emptyset$ . Also M' is closed under addition and is sent to itself under the action of elements of A.

Assume (1) and (2). Let a = -1 and apply the subgroup criterion (in additive form) to see that M' is a subgroup of M. In particular,  $0 \in M'$ . Now let x = 0 and apply hypothesis (2) to see that M' is sent to itself under the action of R.

## <u>Quotient Modules</u>

*Definition*: M a module and M'  $\subseteq$  M a submodule then M/M' is an A-module if we define an action, a(x + M') = ax + M' for every  $a \in A$  and  $(x + M') \in M/M'$ .

Prop. There is a mapping  $\Phi:M \longrightarrow M/M'$  such that  $\Phi(x) = x + M'$ . It is an A-module homomorphism and ker( $\Phi$ )=M'.

Proof.

- M abelian additive group M/M' is an additive abelian group
- Is the action of a∈A on x + M' well defined? Let x + M' = y + M' → x y ∈ M' and M' submodule → a(x y) ∈ M' → ax ay ∈ M' → ax + M' = ay + M' → action is well defined.
- Check the axioms of the module. For example,  $\forall a1, a2 \in A \text{ and } x + M' \in M/M'$  then  $(a_1a_2)(x + M') = (a_1a_2x) + M' = a_1 (a_2x + M') = a_1 (a_2(x + M'))$ . This proves the 3rd condition in the definition of an A-module
- Φ:M→ M/M' is the projection of a an abelian group onto an abelian group.
  Every subgroup of an abelian group is normal → ker(Φ) = M' by exercise 11 that we did in the beginning of the term.
- $\Phi(x + y) = x + y + M' = x + M' + y + M' = \Phi(x) + \Phi(y)$  and  $\Phi(ax) = ax + M' = a(x + M') = a \Phi(x) \implies \Phi$  is an A- module homomorphism

## More Definitions

For f:  $M \longrightarrow N$  an A-module homomorphism then the **kernel** of f is the set

$$Ker(f) = \{x \in M \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

The kernel of f is a submodule of M. The **image** of f is the set

$$Im(f)=f(M)$$

The image of f is a submodule of N. The cokernel of f is the set

$$Coker(f) = N/Im(f)$$

Exact Sequences of Modules

A sequence of modules and module homomorphisms

$$... \longrightarrow M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_i} M_i \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} M_{i+1} \longrightarrow ...$$

is called **exact** at  $M_i$  if  $Im(f_i) = Ker(f_{i+1})$ .

Equivalently (i)  $g \circ f = 0$ 

(ii)  $\forall x_i \in M_i$ , if  $f_{i+1}(x_i) = 0$  then  $\exists x_{i-1} \in M_{i-1}$  s.t.  $x_i = f_i(x_{i-1})$ 

If the above sequence has an infinite amount of modules and module homomorphisms then it is a **long exact sequence**.

Simple examples of exact sequences

 $0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M$  is exact at M' iff Ker(f)=Im( $0 \longrightarrow M'$ )= 0. This implies that f is a monomorphism(injective).

 $M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$  is exact at M'' iff  $Im(g) = Ker(M'' \longrightarrow 0) = M''$ . This implies that g is an epimorphism(surjective).

A short exact sequence is of the form  $0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$ 

An s.e.s is exact at M', M, M", f is injective, and g is surjective.

#### Exact Functors

A **functor** is a mapping between categories (in this case A-modules)

An **exact functor** is a functor that preserves exact sequences. For example, F is an exact functor if a short exact sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow M' \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow F(M') \longrightarrow F(M'') \longrightarrow 0$ is exact.

Some functors are left or right exact. Left exact means that

(i) $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$  exact implies  $0 \rightarrow F(M') \rightarrow F(M) \rightarrow F(M'')$  exact.

0r

(ii)  $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  exact implies  $0 \rightarrow F(M'') \rightarrow F(M) \rightarrow F(M')$  exact

A good example of this is Hom<sub>A</sub> which is left exact. In particular Hom<sub>A</sub>(-,N) satisfies (ii) such

that (\*)  $M' \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow 0$  exact implies

(\*\*) 0  $\longrightarrow$  Hom<sub>A</sub> (M", N)  $\xrightarrow{v^*}$  Hom<sub>A</sub> (M, N)  $\xrightarrow{u^*}$  Hom<sub>A</sub> (M', N) is exact for every N.

What is v\* and u\*?



Proof 1) Exact at Hom<sub>A</sub> (M", N) iff v\* is injective. Take a in Hom<sub>A</sub> (M", N) and assume v\*(a) = 0 iff a•v = 0 iff a(v(m)) = 0, for every m, v is surjective. Since (\*) is exact at M" this implies that every element of M" is of the form v(m) for some m in M. Thus a(m") = 0 for every m" in M" implies that a= 0. Then ker(v\*) = 0 implies v\* injective. 2) Exact at Hom<sub>A</sub>(M,N). i) u\*•v\* iff (v•u)\* = 0. True because v•u=in (\*) since (\*) exact at M. ii) take any b in Hom<sub>A</sub>(M,N), assume u\*(b) = 0 iff b•u = 0 which implies that b vanishes on ker(v) since ker(v) = im(u) which implies b factors through M  $\longrightarrow$  M/ker(v) which is isomorphic to M" which implies there exists b":M"  $\longrightarrow$  N such that b"•v=b. Conclusion, the sequence is exact at Hom<sub>A</sub>(M,N).

Note: Hom<sub>A</sub>(N,-) satisfies (i) for every N

# The Snake Lemma



Assumptions

(i) The rows are short exact sequences

(ii) all the squares commute

Then the sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \ker(f') \longrightarrow \ker(f) \longrightarrow \ker(f'') \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f'') \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f'') \longrightarrow 0$ 

is exact at all 6 of the modules and a connecting homomorphism d: ker  $(f') \longrightarrow coker(f')$  exists.

How do we define d: ker  $(f') \rightarrow coker(f')$ ?

Let  $m'' \in \text{ker}(f')$  which is contained in M''. The top row is exact thus v is surjective which implies there exists an m in M s.t. v(m) = m'' (not necessarily unique). Let n := f(m) implies v'(n) = v'(f(m)) = f''(m'') = 0 because m'' is an element of ker(f') and therefore f(m) is in

the kernel of v'. The bottom row is exact which implies that there exists a unique n' in N' by injectivity of u'(n') = f(m) = n. Then define

d(m'') := p'(n')

Exactness at ker(f)

Since the square with edges v \circ i\_f commutes, then v \circ i\_f \circ u^\*(a) = i\_{f''} \circ v^\* \circ u^\*(a) = 0. Proof: (i)to be verified:  $v^* \circ u^* = 0$ . Take a in ker(f'). Then, by commutativity of squares  $i_{f^\circ}u^*(a) = u \circ i_f(a)$  then  $v \circ i_f \circ u^*(a) = 0$  by exactness.  $I_{f'}$  is injective therefore  $v^* \circ u^* = 0$ 

(ii) to be verified: ker(v<sup>\*</sup>)  $\subseteq$  im(u<sup>\*</sup>). Take b in ker(v<sup>\*</sup>). Then v<sup>\*</sup>(b)= 0  $\in$  ker(f'). i<sub>f'</sub> is injective thus 0 $\in$  M''. Then voi<sub>f</sub>(b) = 0 by commutativity of the squares. i<sub>f</sub>(b)  $\in$  ker(v) = im (u) by exactness. Then i<sub>f</sub>(b) = u(c) for some c  $\in$  M'. i<sub>f</sub> is injective therefore there exists c' $\in$ ker(f') where u<sup>\*</sup>(c') = b